



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 62 No. 12

3rd April 2026

IN THIS ISSUE

Has the Bubble Burst? By Neville Archibald

1

Has the Bubble Burst? By Neville Archibald

The US debt has finally reached a turning point, a milestone if you like. A recently announced figure of \$39 trillion is enough to make anybody's eyes water. Since repayments are now exceeding 100% of GDP, with annual deficits projected near \$1.9 trillion in fiscal 2026, I must ask the million dollar question (really more like a million, million times 2).

If repayments are exceeding total income as a country, are they up for repossession?

If you or I couldn't make house payments, even using the full income, not only would the house be in jeopardy, we also wouldn't eat!

Take note of the 100%. This is Gross Domestic Product, or the entire wealth produced in one year by the US economy! As google puts it: '*Gross domestic product is **the total income earned through the production of goods and services in an economic territory during an accounting period.***'

Even if I have simplified this figure and an economist might add in some fancy words to try and explain it all away, there is no denying that, not only is it a big number, it is all the earnings of the Nation! If this debt is to be paid, to make repayments and not forfeit or go backwards, all money must be spent. What does that leave the nation? The people? Unless everyone stops all other payments and donates to the government all their earnings, the debt will default!

What happens then?

Who repossesses the Nation? What sort of tow truck comes to drag it away? How big an impound yard would you need?

This is not some minor parking fine repossession, they have reached that point where no matter what they do, they will never be able to pay it! 100% of GDP!

The next question I must ask you, and I am serious here, is it real?

I am sure economists and bankers will re-negotiate, manipulate and obfuscate to solve the problem. After all there is a war on and we can't stop now! There will always be money for destruction!

Just what was provided as collateral and conversely just what was given to them that was worth that much?

The Answers to these questions are at the heart of the reality of what wealth actually is! The government will have issued bonds on their ability to pay as a nation. The entity making the loan will have given the government ... what exactly? An entry in a ledger? Cash? You'd need an awful big bag! No?

The entity issuing would have effectively made a notation in a book or on a computer! They did not give the government anything but permission to go ahead with projects! The bulldozers, the fuel, the steel and concrete, all the materials and all the labour for any of the projects, all came from existing things, real things. Sure some of it might have been mined, like the iron ore for steel, but who owned the ore? Surely the Nation itself! Sure the labour had to be supplied, but who was the labour? The citizens themselves! The knowledge? Much of the knowledge used goes back centuries and the newer stuff decades! It has already been developed, used and become almost common knowledge. In other words it has been paid for already!

Why does the issuer of the permission tickets, claim a need to be paid back in full plus interest? All of the 'project' input was either existing, or created out of real physically things. Owned in the main part by America! They provided nothing that a responsible government department could not do, by assessing the capability and requirements of the Nation and then allowing it to proceed. Money needed could be and would be printed and distributed in exactly the same way as if Bonds were created for sale, no matter who issued them. This money would then be cancelled out of the system as the project was completed. There would be no enormous debt, no interest, just creation of an asset and the correct functioning of the circular economy. Yes, the international banks would miss out! But other than permission, what did they provide that the nation didn't already have?

Examine these statements and ask yourself who owns your labour input? A loan made to use your labour results in something being done or made. The effort, the knowledge, is yours! The loan is nothing more than permission for you to do it! Granted, it is money (income) you earn; but the risk is on the person getting the loan, the production is you. The lender has supplied nothing but a keystroke, or a pencil mark. Oh what I wouldn't give to turn all my pencil marks into money to be used, and then earn interest from them. The keystrokes in this

article so far, would probably allow me to pay off the US debt!

But seriously, I can never get my head around why people believe that these debts are real. Just the fact that the whole world is in debt makes a nonsense of the system we use. Chasing that debt, is what keeps us from realising our full potential as a people. Anything that is physically possible can be done! Sadly many things that are physically possible are not done, due to lack of permission slips (money).

The absurdity of the US repayments exceeding GDP is a red light flashing on the dash, as the US barrels down the highway of life. The tank is empty, the tank is empty! Yet still the economy goes on, still wars can be fought, and still banks and multinational interests make money hand over fist! Figure it out!

COVID truths, the web is still being spun!

In the Washington post and elsewhere, the 'truths' of Covid are again being pointed out. All the little 'mis-truths' that many people now see as basically propaganda, are being rolled out again to try and colour the whole sorry episode as one of necessity and the betterment of our overall health. 'Yes we got some things wrong', they say; 'but look at what we got right!' The usual mis-information is then rolled out again as fact, with some figures (statistics) that supposedly back it all up. I suggest people be wary of the 'truth' which they have selected to keep, and that which they have sacrificed in order to move that one step forward overall. The over-reaction, lies and pull back after being discovered, is now being 'examined' to see just how far they can stay ahead of where they started out. To see just how much control they can keep. If fear (even a little bit) can be kept in the equation then the long term reduction of our freedoms can remain in place.

The benefits (of the vaccine) were oversold they say, but they still quote the now debunked, '90% effective' claim.

'A study of 4,000 frontline workers found that in the first three months of the vaccine rollout, two doses reduced the risk of infection by 90 percent.'

Further claims that the virus then mutated to, 'bypass the first-line immune defenses' -thus the 90% was compromised, avoid two main facts! The first being that a re-examination of the data that arrived at a figure of 90%, was misleading. The type of benefit described as 90% was in fact equated to relative rather than actual. The wording here meant that what was presented to us as miraculous and how it was then explained, suffered from (I would suggest) intentional selling to achieve higher rates of uptake. We went from the injection giving immunity, and preventing spread, to helping with immunity, to 'well you are less likely to end up in hospital with Covid!'

What was 'sold' to us was not what we received! The 90% was never a real figure for prevention by injection. The '*speed of science*' and the urgency to bring it to market saw short cuts and leaps of faith without study data to back it up.

At the time, many had relevant questions:

Dr Julian Tang, Honorary Associate Professor in Respiratory Sciences and Clinical Virologist, University of Leicester, said:

'The results for the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine are encouraging – but it is so far based on the outcomes of only 94 participants. If the 90% 'efficacy' was based on the analysis of 10,000 participants, along with similar safety data, this would be much more reassuring.'

Prof Azra Ghani, Chair in Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Imperial College London, said:

'My understanding is that participants have been followed up following the second vaccine dose at 21 days. There were a total of 94 cases occurring from 7 days after the second dose. The 90% efficacy comes from looking at how these cases were distributed between those that received the vaccine and those that did not. But the actual number in each study arm cannot be calculated from the information provided because it depends on how many people were followed in each arm. Importantly, this is not the result from 94 people as there will be many more in both arms of the trial that did not get COVID-19 from 7 days after their second dose.'

All this questioning and much more occurred before the main roll out. Many in this paper seemed wary, as material provided to review, was a press release and further data was needed.

Dr Andrew Preston, Reader in Microbial Pathogenesis, University of Bath, said:

'The press release from Pfizer reporting the preliminary estimation of the efficacy of their RNA-based COVID-19 vaccine offers great promise ... However, the actual data from the trial is not publicly available for full scrutiny, and it is noted that the trial is not yet complete.'

<https://www.sciencemediacentre.org/expert-reaction-to-pfizer-and-biontech-reporting-interim-results-from-phase-3-covid-19-vaccine-trial/>

The above quotes were made in 2020.

(It might also be noted here, that the control group in the original trial were forever removed as a control group for ongoing safety concerns, by being given the injections not long after the initial figures were arrived at! 'By early 2021, most placebo recipients had received their first dose of the vaccine.' Google AI overview. This means, that even if we wanted to, further follow up on these

figures can never be done!)

Fast forward to now and observation will tell you that almost everyone who succumbed to the world-wide experimental gene altering trial (which is what it was) eventually got sick from something people were calling Covid. It never stopped transmission, it did not confer immunity and the side effects ... well!

The other point worth considering here, is the well known reason why it is not appropriate nor ideal to vaccinate during an outbreak, as it drives the rise of variants! Shock, horror! What was it that we then saw?

The article then talks about this rise in variants, as an explanation of why effectiveness was then reduced.

I could continue through this push for re-examining the pandemic controls, pointing out the other claims still being made as though they also had not been debunked. Articles like these are a softening up of the outrage we should be feeling over this authoritarian overreach and enforcement of medical experiments on a world wide basis. The gas-lighting of side effects and the continued decline in health that is resulting (still on going); the fibrous white clots found in cadavers, never before seen and quite likely the main reason for death. (the lack of autopsy material to study, due to political pressure rather than actual inability, most likely would have been able to show this anomaly for what it was.)

The 'Mild' cases of pregnancy, sorry peri and merri myocarditis with it's impact on heart tissue (which never recovers full health afterwards), saw healthy fit athletes, among others, drop dead after exertion, and now has relegated some sufferers to be at risk of a significantly reduced lifespan.

So they want us to focus on remembering the dead, the victims of Covid and how bad it was. Deny the obvious dangers of an ill prepared (or well prepared) vaccine technology, and pretend that it was all due to a virus. The true facts of the dangers we faced must not be questioned in case it lessens the respect for those who died! I'd rather see justice for what I see as sheer quackery masquerading as science, that was and still is, going on. The mRNA platform for Vaccine manufacture is probably a bigger danger than the war in the middle east.

There is plenty of well researched material that debunks the many 'beneficial claims' being made yet again. Along with the lack of media coverage, the political fallout that would occur is still a strong reason to deny these issues and keep them from becoming actual news. Be assured though, that the truth will be seen, as many of us live out our lives, many to now die younger than ever before or to exist on hospital waiting lists as the true side effects begin to come out.

Ask yourself, is the rise of shingles, stage 4 cancers, chronic fatigue and immune suppressive issues simply just a co incidence, after all 'correlation does not equal causation' does it! ***

Data Experts Attack the Ferguson COVID-19 Model

By Brian Simpson

We recently did an article where one computer expert cited took apart the coding foundation used in the Neil Ferguson model, that predicted doom, unless there was a society-wide lock-down. Now more have begun to attack the model:

“Scientists have levelled a flurry of criticism against Professor Neil Ferguson’s modelling which warned 500,000 people could die from coronavirus and prompted Britain to go into lockdown. Modelling from Imperial College London epidemiologist Professor Ferguson, who stepped down from the government’s Sage group at the start of May, has been described as ‘totally unreliable’ by other experts. The coding that produced the sobering death figures was impossible to read, and therefore cast doubts on its strength, *The Telegraph* reported. It is also some 13 years old, it said. When other scientists have tried to replicate the findings using the same model, they have repeatedly failed to do. Prof Ferguson’s model is understood to have single-handedly triggered a dramatic change in the Government’s handling of the outbreak, as they moved away from herd immunity to a lockdown. Competing scientists’ research - whose models produced vastly different results - has been largely discarded, they claim. David Richards, co-founder of British data technology company WANdisco said the model was a ‘buggy mess that looks more like a bowl of angel hair pasta than a finely tuned piece of programming’. He said: ‘In our commercial reality we would fire anyone for developing code like this and any business that relied on it to produce software for sale would likely go bust.’”

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8327641/Coronavirus-modelling-Professor-Neil-Ferguson-branded-mess-experts.html>

Yet, it was this modelling that provided a foundation for the lock-downs that are set to deliver economic devastation to the West, and probably mark the decisive point where the West goes down a blind alley. Don’t blindly trust “experts.” ***

Annual Subscription to ‘*On Target*’ \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the *On Target* and the *NewTimes Survey* journals - **printed and posted monthly.**

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.
Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>
Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the Freedom Movement “Archives” :: <https://alor.org/>
On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.